arXiv:1410.7141v2 [math.DS] 29 Oct 2014

GENERIC MAÑÉ SETS.

GONZALO CONTRERAS

Abstract. We prove that C^2 generic hyperbolic Mañé sets contain a periodic orbit. In dimension 2, adding a result with A. Figalli and L. Rifford [9], we obtain Mañé's Conjecture [18] for surfaces in the C^2 topology.

Let M be a closed riemannian manifold. A Tonelli Lagrangian is a C^2 function $L : TM \to \mathbb{R}$ such that it is

(i) *Convex:* $\forall (x,v) \in TM$, $\partial^2_{vv} L(x,v)$ is positive definite.

(ii) *Superlinear:* $\forall A > 0\ \exists B > 0$ such that $\forall (x,v) \in TM$: $L(x,v) > A\,|v|_x - B$.

Given $k \in \mathbb{R}$, the Mañé potential is defined as $\phi_k : M \times M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ as

$$\phi_k(x,y) := \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(x,y)} \int k + L(\gamma, \dot\gamma),$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}(x,y) := \{\gamma : [0,T] \to M \text{ absolutely continuous} \mid T > 0,\ \gamma(0) = x,\ \gamma(T) = y\ \}.$$

The Mañé critical value is

$$c(L) := \sup\{\, k \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists\, x, y \in M :\ \phi_k(x,y) = -\infty\ \}.$$

See [11] for several characterizations of $c(L)$.

A curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is *semistatic* if

$$\forall s < t : \quad \int_s^t c(L) + L(\gamma, \dot\gamma) = \phi_{c(L)}(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)).$$

The Mañé set of L is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L) := \{(\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t)) \in TM \mid t \in \mathbb{R},\ \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M \text{ is semistatic }\}.$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}\, \partial_v L = \partial_x L$$

Partially supported by CONACYT, Mexico, grant 178838.

defines the Lagrangian flow φ_t on TM. The Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)$ is invariant under the Lagrangian flow. We say that $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)$ is *hyperbolic* if there are sub-bundles E^s, E^u of $T(TM)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)}$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that

(i) $T(TM)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)} = E^s \oplus \langle \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t \rangle \oplus E^u$.
(ii) $\|D\varphi_{T_0}|_{E^s}\| < 1$, $\|D\varphi_{-T_0}|_{E^u}\| < 1$.

Fix a Tonelli Lagrangian L_0. Let

$$\mathcal{H}^k(L_0) := \{\, \phi \in C^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L_0+\phi) \text{ is hyperbolic } \},$$

endowed with the C^k topology. By [12, Lemma 5.2, p. 661] the map $\phi \mapsto \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L_0+\phi)$ is upper semi-continuous. Therefore $\mathcal{H}^k(L_0)$ is an open set for any $k \geq 2$.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}^2(L_0) := \{\, \phi \in C^2(M,\mathbb{R}) \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L_0+\phi) \text{ contains a periodic orbit } \}.$$

We will prove

Theorem A. *The set $\mathcal{P}^2(L_0)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^2(L_0)$.*

In [10] we proved that if $\Gamma \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)$ is a periodic orbit, adding a potential $\phi_0 \geq 0$ which is locally of the form $\phi_0(x) = \varepsilon\, d(x,\pi(\Gamma))^2$ makes Γ a hyperbolic periodic orbit for the Lagrangian flow of $L+\phi_0$ and also $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L_0+\phi_0) = \Gamma$. Therefore if

$$\mathcal{HP}^2(L_0) := \{\, \phi \in C^2(M,\mathbb{R}) \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L_0+\phi) \text{ is a hyperbolic periodic orbit } \},$$

using the semicontinuity of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)$ and the expansivity of Γ we get

Corollary B. *The set $\mathcal{HP}^2(L_0)$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{H}^2(L_0)$.*

With A. Figalli and L. Rifford in [9] we prove

Theorem C. *If $\dim M = 2$ then $\mathcal{H}^2(L_0)$ is open and dense.*

Thus for surfaces in the C^2 topology we obtain Mañé's Conjecture [18, p. 143]:

Corollary D. *If $\dim M = 2$ then $\mathcal{HP}^2(L_0)$ is open and dense in $C^2(M,\mathbb{R})$.*

The proof of Theorem A follows the lines of our proof [7] of the corresponding conjecture in Ergodic Optimization. As such it is supported on the work by G. C. Yuan and B. R. Hunt [25], X. Bressaud and A. Quas [5], I. Morris [20] and A. Quas and J. Siefken [21].

For possible applications we want to remark that all the perturbing potentials in this paper are locally of the form $\phi(x) = \varepsilon\, d(x,\pi(\Gamma))^k$, $k \geq 2$, where Γ is a suitably chosen periodic orbit of the Lagrangian flow nearby the Mañé set.

1. Generic hyperbolic Mañé sets have zero entropy.

We begin by proving the following analogous of a Theorem by I. Morris [20]:

Theorem E. *If L_0 is a Tonelli lagrangian and $k \geq 2$, the set*

$$\mathcal{E}_0(L_0) = \{\, \phi \in \mathcal{H}^k(L_0) \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L_0 + \phi) \text{ has zero topological entropy } \}$$

contains a residual subset of $\mathcal{H}^k(L_0)$.

In this section we will identify a periodic orbit with the invariant measure supported on the periodic orbit.

The first two results, lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 follow arguments by X. Bressaud and A. Quas [5].

Let $A \in \{0,1\}^{M\times M}$ be a $M \times M$ matrix of with entries in $\{0,1\}$. The subshift of finite type Σ_A associated to A is the set

$$\Sigma_A = \left\{\ (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \ \middle|\ \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1 \ \right\},$$

endowed with the metric

$$d(x,y) = 2^{-i}, \qquad i = \max\{\ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_i = y_i \ \ \forall |i| \leq k \ \}$$

and the *shift transformation*

$$\sigma : \Sigma_A \to \Sigma_A, \qquad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}.$$

1.1. **Lemma:** *Let Σ_A be a shift of finite type with M symbols and entropy h. Then Σ_A contains a periodic orbit of period at most $1 + M\mathrm{e}^{1-h}$.*

Proof: Let $k+1$ be the period of the shortest periodic orbit in Σ_A. We claim that a word of length k in Σ_A is determined by the set of symbols that it contains. First note that since there are no periodic orbits of period k or less, any allowed k-word must contain k distinct symbols. Now suppose that u and v are two distinct words of length k in Σ_A containing the same symbols. Then, since the words are different, there is a consecutive pair of symbols, say a and b, in v which occur in the opposite order (not necessarily consecutively) in u. Then the infinite concatenation of the segment of u starting at b and ending at a gives a word in Σ_A of period at most k.

It follows that there are at most $\binom{M}{k}$ words of length k. Using the basic properties of topological entropy

$$\mathrm{e}^{hk} \leq \binom{M}{k} \leq \frac{M^k}{k!} \leq \left(\frac{M\mathrm{e}}{k}\right)^k.$$

Taking kth roots, we see that $k \leq M\mathrm{e}^{1-h}$.

□

We say that a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is *static* for a Tonelli Lagrangian L if

$$\forall s < t : \quad \int_s^t L(\gamma, \dot\gamma) = -\phi_{c(L)}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s));$$

equivalently (cf. Mañé [18, pp. 142–143]), if γ is semistatic and

$$\forall s < t : \quad \phi_{c(L)}(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) + \phi_{c(L)}(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) = 0. \tag{1}$$

The *Aubry set* is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}(L) := \{\, (\gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t)) \mid t \in \mathbb{R},\ \gamma \text{ is static } \},$$

its elements are called *static vectors*.

Let $\mathcal{M}_L(T)$ be the set of invariant measures for L which are supported on a periodic orbit with period $\le T$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(T)$ write

$$c(\mu, \mathcal{A}(L)) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathrm{supp}(\mu)} d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L)).$$

1.2. **Proposition:** *Suppose that the Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is hyperbolic. Then for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^+$*

$$\liminf_{T \to +\infty} T^\ell \left(\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_L(T)} c(\mu, \mathcal{A}(L)) \right) = 0.$$

Proof: Let $T > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be smaller than a expansivity constant for $\mathcal{A}(L)$. Let G be a minimal $(2T, \delta)$-*spanning set* for $\mathcal{A}(L)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(L) \subset \bigcup_{\theta \in G} B(\theta, 2T, \delta), \tag{2}$$

where $B(\theta, 2T, \delta)$ is the *dynamic ball*

$$B(\theta, 2T, \delta) = \{\, \vartheta \in TM \mid d(\varphi_s(\theta), \varphi_s(\vartheta)) \le \delta \quad \forall s \in [0, 2T] \,\},$$

and no proper subset of G satisfies (2). Let $\Sigma \subset G^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the bi-infinite subshift of finite type with symbols in G and matrix $A \in \{0,1\}^{G \times G}$ defined by

$$A(\theta, \vartheta) = 1 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \varphi_{2T}(\theta) \in B(\vartheta, 2T, \delta). \tag{3}$$

Let S_N be a maximal $(2NT, \delta)$-separated set in $\mathcal{A}(L)$. Given $\theta \in S_N$ let $I(\theta)$ be an itinerary in Σ corresponding to θ, i.e. $\varphi_{2nT}(\theta) \in B(I(\theta)_n, 2T, \delta)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\theta, \vartheta \in S_N$ are different points, then there are $0 \le n < N$ and $s \in [0, 2T[$ such that $d(\varphi_{2nT+s}(\theta), \varphi_{2nT+s}(\vartheta)) > \delta$. Thus $I(\theta)_n \ne I(\vartheta)_n$, i.e. $I(\theta)$, $I(\vartheta)$ belong to different N-cylinders in Σ. Therefore

$$C_N := \#(N\text{-cylinders in } \Sigma) \ge \#S_N.$$

Since δ is smaller than an expansivity constant for $\mathcal{A}(L)$, its topological entropy can be calculated using (n,δ)-separated (or (n,δ)-spanning) sets, $h_{\rm top}(\varphi,\mathcal{A}(L)) = h(\varphi,\mathcal{A}(L),\delta)$ (c.f. Bowen [3]), thus

$$h(\Sigma) \geq \limsup_N \frac{\log \#C_N}{N} \geq 2T \limsup_N \frac{\log \#S_N}{2NT} = 2T\, h_{top}(\mathcal{A}(L)) =: 2Th.$$

There is K_T with sub-exponential growth in T such that $\#G \leq K_T\, \mathrm{e}^{2Th}$. Then Lemma 1.1 gives a periodic orbit Θ in Σ with

$$\text{period}(\Theta) \leq 1 + K_T\, \mathrm{e}^{2Th}\, \mathrm{e}^{1-2Th} \leq 1 + K_T\, \mathrm{e}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is hyperbolic there is an open set $U \supset \mathcal{A}(L)$ and constants $C > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that if $\theta, \vartheta \in \bigcap_{s=0}^{2T} \varphi_{-s}(U)$ and $\vartheta \in B(\theta, 2T, \delta)$, then (e.g. Hasselblatt, Katok [17, p. 269]) for $s \in [0, 2T]$ we have

$$d(\varphi_s(\theta), \varphi_s(\vartheta)) \leq \tfrac{1}{2} C\, \lambda^{\min(s,2T-s)} \big(d(\theta,\vartheta) + d(\varphi_{2T}(\theta), \varphi_{2T}(\vartheta)) \big). \tag{4}$$

Given a sequence $(\theta_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma$, define a pseudo-orbit $(u_i|_{[0,2T]})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathcal{A}(L)$ by $u_i(s) := \varphi_{s+T}(\theta_i)$. From (3) we have $\varphi_{2T}(\theta_i) \in B(\theta_{i+1}, 2T, \delta)$. Then by (4),

$$d(u_i(2T), u_{i+1}(0)) = d(\varphi_{3T}(\theta_i), \varphi_T(\theta_{i+1})) \leq \tfrac{1}{2} C\, \lambda^T\, 2\delta.$$

Thus $(u_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is actually a $\delta C\lambda^T$-pseudo-orbit.

The Shadowing Lemma asserts that there are $\delta_0 > 0$ and $Q > 0$ such that if $0 < \beta < \delta_0$ then any β-pseudo-orbit in a small neighbourhood of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ can be ε-shadowed with $\varepsilon = Q\beta$ (e.g. the estimate appears in Hasselblatt-Katok [17, Theorem 6.4.15]).

Define $u : \mathbb{R} \to U$ as $u(2iT + s) = u_i(s)$, $s \in [0, 2T[$. By the Shadowing Lemma (cf. Hasselblatt-Katok [17, §18.1]) there is $\xi \in U$ and a homeomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with Lipschitz constant $\text{Lip}(\alpha - I) \leq \varepsilon$ such that $d\big(\varphi_s(\xi), u(\alpha(s))\big) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon = Q\delta C\lambda^T$. Writing $D := \delta QC$,

$$d\big(\varphi_s(\xi), \mathcal{A}(L)\big) \leq d\big(\varphi_s(\xi), u(\alpha(s))\big) \leq D\lambda^T. \tag{5}$$

Now apply the shadowing lemma to the periodic orbit $\Theta \in \Sigma$ obtained above. It has period $\leq (1+\varepsilon)\,(2T)\,(1 + K_T\, \mathrm{e}) \leq 4T(1 + K_T\, \mathrm{e})$. If μ_ξ is the invariant measure supported on the periodic orbit, we have that

$$\limsup_{T\to+\infty} \text{period}(\xi)^\ell\, c(\mu_\xi, \mathcal{A}(L)) \leq \lim_{T\to+\infty} 4^\ell T^\ell (1 + K_T\, \mathrm{e})^\ell\, D\lambda^T = 0.$$

□

1.3. **Corollary:** *Suppose that the Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is hyperbolic. There is a sequence of periodic orbits μ_n with periods T_n and $m_m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for any $0 < \beta < 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$*

$$\int d\big(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L)\big)\, d\mu_n = o(\beta^{k\, m_n}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_n \frac{\log T_n}{m_n} = 0.$$

Proof: Observe that it is enough to prove the Lemma for $k = 1$. By Proposition 1.2 there is a sequence of periodic orbits μ_n with periods T_n such that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^+$

$$\lim_n T_n^\ell \left(\int d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L))\, d\mu_n \right) = 0.$$

Let

$$r_n := \log_\beta \left(\int d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L))\, d\mu_n \right).$$

Since

$$\beta^{r_n} \le T_n^\ell\, \beta^{r_n} \le 1 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad -\frac{1}{\ell} \le \frac{\log_\beta T_n}{r_n} \le 0$$

we have that $r_n^{-1} \log T_n \to 0$. Define $m_n := \lfloor \frac{1}{2} r_n \rfloor$, then $m_n^{-1} \log T_n \to 0$ and

$$\int d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L))\, d\mu_n = \beta^{r_n} \le \beta^{m_n + \frac{1}{2} r_n} = o(\beta^{m_n}).$$

□

1.4. **Lemma:** *Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be non-negative real numbers, and let $A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \ge 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n -a_i \log a_i \le 1 + A\, \log n,$$

where we use the convention $0\, \log 0 = 0$.

Proof: Applying Jensen's inequality to the concave function $x \mapsto -x \log x$ yields

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -a_i \log a_i \le -\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \log \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) = -\frac{A}{n}\, \log A + \frac{A}{n}\, \log n$$

from which the result follows. □

Let $\mathcal{M}(L)$ be the set of Borel probabilities in TM invariant under the Lagrangian flow.

1.5. **Lemma:**

Let L_0 be a Tonelli Lagrangian and $e > c(L_0)$. There is $B = B(L_0, e) > 0$ such that for every $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\nu) \subset [\mathit{Energy}(L_0) < e]$,

$$\int L_0 \, d\nu \leq -c(L_0) + B(L_0, e) \int d\big(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L_0)\big) \, d\nu(\theta).$$

Proof: From Bernard [2] after Fathi and Siconolfi [15] we know that there is a critical subsolution u of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, i.e.

$$H(x, d_x u) \leq c(L) \tag{6}$$

for the Hamiltonian H of L, which is C^1 with Lipschitz derivatives. Let

$$\mathbb{L}(x, v) := L(x, v) + c(L) - d_x u(v).$$

Inequality (6) implies that $\mathbb{L} \geq 0$. Also from (1), $\mathbb{L}|_{\mathcal{A}(L)} \equiv 0$. The Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is included in the energy level $c(L)$ (e.g. Mañé [18, p. 146]), hence it is compact. There is a Lipschitz constant B for the function $\mathbb{L}$ on the convex $[E(L_0) < e]$:

$$\forall \theta \in [E(L_0) < e] \qquad \mathbb{L}(\theta) \leq 0 + B \, d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L)).$$

By Birkhoff ergodic theorem every invariant measure is closed:

$$\begin{aligned} \int du(x, v) \, d\nu &= \int \left[\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T du(\varphi_t(\theta)) \, dt \right] d\nu(\theta) \\ &= \int \lim_{T \to \infty} \left[\frac{u(\pi(\varphi_T(\theta))) - u(\pi(\theta))}{T} \right] d\nu(\theta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int \big(L + c(L)\big) \, d\nu = \int \mathbb{L} \, d\nu \leq B \int d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L)) \, d\nu.$$

□

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\min}(L)$ the set of minimizing measures for the Lagrangian L, i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\min}(L) := \Big\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(L) \;\Big|\; \int_{TM} L \, d\mu = -c(L) \Big\}.$$

Their name is justified (cf. Mañé [18, Theorem II]) by

$$-c(L) = \min_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)} \int_{TM} L \, d\mu = \min_{\mu \in \mathcal{C}(TM)} \int_{TM} L \, d\mu. \tag{7}$$

Fathi and Siconolfi [15, Theorem 1.6] prove the second equality in (7) where the set of *closed measures* is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(TM) := \Big\{ \mu \text{ Borel probability on } TM \;\Big|\; \forall \phi \in C^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \; \int_{TM} d\phi \, d\mu = 0 \Big\}.$$

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L)$ denote by $h(L,\mu)$ the entropy of μ under the Lagrangian flow φ_t.

Proof of Theorem E:

For $\gamma > 0$ write

$$\mathcal{H}^k(L) := \{\, \phi \in C^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \mid \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi) \text{ is hyperbolic } \},$$
$$\mathcal{E}_\gamma := \{\, \phi \in \mathcal{H}^k(L) \mid \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L+\phi) : \quad h(L+\phi,\mu) < \gamma \,\}.$$

It is enough to prove that for every $\gamma > 0$ the set $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{H}^k(L)$.

Step 1. $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ is C^0 open.

The map $C^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \ni \phi \mapsto \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi)$ is upper semicontinuous (cf. [13, Lemma 5.2]). Therefore, given $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}^k(L)$, there are neighborhoods U of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi_0)$ and $\mathcal{U}$ of ϕ_0 in $C^k(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi) \subset U$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi)$ is a hyperbolic set for $\varphi^{L+\phi}$ and one can construct a fixed symbolic dynamic space which contains the lifts of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ (see step 2 below). In particular $\mathcal{H}^k(L)$ is open in $C^k(M,\mathbb{R})$.

Suppose that $\phi_n \in \mathcal{H}^k(L) \setminus \mathcal{E}_\gamma$, $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{H}^k(L)$ and $\lim_n \phi_n = \phi_0$ in $C^k(M,\mathbb{R})$. Then there are $\nu_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L+\phi_n)$ with $h(L+\phi_n,\nu_n) \geq \gamma$. Taking a subsequence if necessary we can assume that $\nu_n \to \nu \in \mathcal{M}(L+\phi_0)$.

The map $(\nu,\phi) \mapsto \int L+\phi \, d\nu$ is continuous with respect to $\|\phi\|_{\sup}$ on $[E_L(\mathrm{supp}(\nu)) \leq a]$. And the map $\phi \mapsto c(L+\phi)$ is continuous with respect to $\|\phi\|_{\sup}$ (cf. [13, same proof as Lemma 5.1]). Using that

$$c(L+\phi) = -\min_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L+\phi)} \int L+\phi \, d\mu,$$

we obtain that the limit $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L+\phi)$.

All the measures ν_n lift to the same symbolic dynamic space. This is a suspension of a fixed shift of finite type $F : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$, with return time τ^ϕ depending on ϕ. To every ν_n one can associate an F-invariant probability measure μ_n and the map $\nu_n \mapsto \mu_n$ is continuous. Since the symbolic map F is expansive, the entropy map $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(F)$ is upper semi-continuous (cf. Bowen [3] or Walters [24, Thm. 8.2]). Thus $h_\mu(F) \geq \limsup_n h_{\mu_n}(F)$, where $\mu = \lim_n \mu_n$. The relation between the entropies of ν_n and μ_n is given by Abramov formula [1], which is continuous on ϕ_n:

$$h_{\nu_n}(\varphi^{L+\phi_n}) = \frac{h_{\mu_n}(F)}{\int \tau^{\phi_n} \, d\mu_n}.$$

This implies that

$$h_\nu(\varphi^{L+\phi_0}) \geq \limsup_n h_{\nu_n}(\varphi^{L+\phi_n}) \geq \gamma.$$

Thus the complement $\mathcal{H}^k(L) \setminus \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ is closed.

$\triangle$

Step 2. We have to prove that $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ intersects any non-empty open set in $\mathcal{H}^k(L)$. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{H}^k(L)$ be open and non-empty. By [13, Thm. C], there is $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L) = \mathcal{A}(L)$. To simplify the notation we assume that $\phi_0 = 0$.

Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be an expansivity constant for a small neighbourhood U of $\mathcal{A}(L)$. Since $\mathcal{A}(L) \subset E^{-1}\{c(L)\}$ (see Mañé [18, p. 146]) we can assume that U is bounded e.g. $U \subset [E \leq 2c(L)]$. Let V be an open set such that $\mathcal{A}(L) \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$. By the upper semicontinuity of the Mañé set (see Contreras-Paternain [13, Lemma 5.2]) we can assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is so small that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ the Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi) \subset V$ and it is hyperbolic and also ε_0 is an expansivity constant for the Lagrangian flow $\varphi^{L+\phi}$ of $L+\phi$ in U. Write $\Lambda_0 = \cap_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{-t}^{L+\phi}(\overline{V})$. The sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi)$ and Λ_0 may not be locally maximal hyperbolic sets, but Fisher [16, Th. 1.5] proves that there is a hyperbolic set $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda \subset U$ that has a Markov partition. By the shadowing lemma (structural stability), we can assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ there is a hyperbolic set

$$\Lambda(L+\phi) \supset \Lambda_0(L+\phi) = \bigcap_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{-t}^{L+\phi}(\overline{V}) \supset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi) \supset \mathcal{A}(L+\phi)$$

which has the same symbolic dynamics as $\Lambda(L)$.

In the case of flows (cf. Bowen [4], Ratner [22]), Markov partitions are "dynamic rectangles" sitting in a collection $\mathcal{N}$ of codimension 1 submanifolds transversal to the flow, satisfying the Markov property. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a Markov partition with small diameter and

$$\mathfrak{X} := \cup\mathbb{P}$$

Using the Shadowing Lemma (the structural stability of Λ) there are corresponding Markov partitions $\mathbb{P}^\phi$ for the flow of the lagrangian $L+\phi$ on the continuation Λ^ϕ of Λ. With abuse of notation we write $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^\phi$ and assume that the estimates below are understood to hold for all $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let $F = F^\phi : \mathfrak{X} \cap \Lambda^\phi \hookleftarrow$ be the first return map and $\tau = \tau^\phi : \mathfrak{X} \cap \Lambda^\phi \to]0, +\infty[$ the first return time. Choose a, b such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$0 < a < \inf \tau^\phi < \sup \tau^\phi < b. \tag{8}$$

Observe that the return map F may be discontinuous in $\mathfrak{X} \cap \Lambda$. This is due to the fact that Λ may not have a global smooth transversal section.

Choose $\mathcal{U}$ and ε_0 small enough that ε_0 is an expansivity constant for F^ϕ (and hence also for $\varphi^{L+\phi}$) for all $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ on U. Choose the Markov Partition with diameters $\operatorname{diam} \mathbb{P}^\phi < \varepsilon_0$.

Write

$$\mathbb{P}^{(m)} := \bigvee_{k=0}^{m-1} F^{-k}(\mathbb{P}) = \Big\{ \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} A_i \mid A_i \in F^{-i}(\mathbb{P}) \Big\}.$$



FIGURE 1. This figure illustrates the claim in (9). The big square is an atom A_i of $\mathbb{P}$ and the shadowed vertical strips are the atoms of $\mathbb{P}^{(m)}$ which are inside an element $A_i \cap F^{-(m-1)}(A_j)$ of $\mathbb{P} \vee F^{-(m-1)}(\mathbb{P})$. The white vertical strips are other atoms of $\mathbb{P}^{(m)}$ in A_i. The circle represents a dynamic ball as in (10) or a metric ball V as in (11). If $\operatorname{diam}\mathbb{P}$ is small enough, the atoms of $\mathbb{P}^{(m)}$ in the shadowed region $A_i \cap F^{-(m-1)}(A_j)$ have disjoint closures. In this picture, if the ball V intersects two shadowed strips then its image $F^{(m-1)}(V)$ would have to cross at least three atoms of $\mathbb{P}$ which would make V (and δ) too large.

The Markov Partition $\mathbb{P}$ can be chosen with diameter small enough such that the following holds: there is

$$\delta > 0 \tag{9}$$

such that if $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, A_i, $A_j \in \mathbb{P}$, θ_1, $\theta_2 \in A_i \cap F^{-(m-1)}(A_j)$ but $\mathbb{P}^{(m)}(\theta_1) \neq \mathbb{P}^{(m)}(\theta_2)$, then $d(\varphi_t(\theta_1), \varphi_t(\theta_2)) > \delta$ for some $0 \leq t \leq S_m\tau(\theta_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \tau(F^k(\theta_1))$, i.e. for any dynamic ball

$$V(\theta, S_m\tau(\theta), \delta) := \big\{ \vartheta \in TM \mid d(\varphi_t(\theta), \varphi_t(\vartheta)) < \delta \quad \forall t \in [0, S_m\tau(\theta)] \big\} \tag{10}$$

the set $V(\theta, S_m\tau(\theta), \delta) \cap A_i \cap F^{-(m-1)}(A_j)$ intersects at most one element of $\mathbb{P}^{(m)}$.

Using b from (8) and δ from (9), choose $\beta > 0$ such that

$$0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam}\mathbb{P},$$

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \quad \forall \theta \in \Lambda \qquad B(\theta, \beta^m) := \{ \vartheta \in TM \mid d(\vartheta, \theta) < \beta^m \} \subset V\big(\theta, (m+1)b, \delta\big). \tag{11}$$

Since the diameter of the partition $\mathbb{P}$ is smaller than the expansivity constant for F on $\Lambda \cap \mathfrak{X}$, the metric entropy is attained at the partition $\mathbb{P}$ (cf. Walters [24, Th. 5.25 p. 143] also [24, Cor. 4.9.1 & Th. 4.9]) i.e. for any F-invariant measure ν

$$h(F,\nu) = h(F,\nu,\mathbb{P}) = \inf_m \frac{1}{m} \sum_{P\in\mathbb{P}^{(m)}} -\nu(A)\,\log(\nu(A)).$$

Observe that by the structural stability the maps F^ϕ are all conjugate for $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$. In particular the topological entropy $h_{top}(F^\phi)$ does not depend on $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ so it makes sense to write $h_{top}(F)$.

Let m_n, T_n, μ_n be given by Corollary 1.3 for L_0. Let $\Gamma_n := \operatorname{supp}\mu_n$.

Claim 1*:*

There is $N^1_\gamma > 0$ *such that if* $n > N^1_\gamma$, $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L_0+\phi)$ *and* $h(\mu) \geq \frac{2\gamma}{a}\, h_{top}(F)$ *then*

$$\mu(\{\,\theta \in \Lambda \mid d(\theta,\Gamma_n) > \beta^{m_n}\,\}) > \gamma.$$

Proof of the Claim: From the definition of topological entropy using open covers (c.f. Walters [24, §7.1])

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{k} \log \#\mathbb{P}^{(k)} \leq h_{top}(F).$$

Let $N^1_\gamma > 0$ be large enough that for $n > N^1_\gamma$

$$\frac{2 + \log \frac{1}{a}(\#\mathbb{P})^2}{m_n} + \frac{\log T_n}{m_n} + \frac{\gamma}{m_n} \log \#\mathbb{P}^{(m_n)} < 2\gamma\, h_{top}(F). \tag{12}$$

Suppose that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(L+\phi)$ satisfies

$$\mu(\{\,\theta \in \Lambda \mid d(\theta,\Gamma_n) > \beta^{m_n}\,\}) \leq \gamma,$$

we shall prove that then $h(\mu) < \frac{2\gamma}{a}\, h_{top}(F)$.

Let ν be the F-invariant measure on $\mathfrak{X}$ induced by μ, i.e.

$$\nu(A) = \mu(\{\varphi_t(\theta) \mid \theta \in A,\ 0 \leq t < \tau(\theta)\,\}).$$

Let

$$W_n := \{\, A \in \mathbb{P}^{(m_n)} \mid \exists \theta \in A \quad d(\theta,\Gamma_n) \leq \beta^{m_n}\,\}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n := \sum_{A\in\mathbb{P}^{(m_n)}\setminus W_n} \nu(A) \leq \gamma.$$

Using Lemma 1.4,

$$h(\nu) \leq \frac{1}{m_n} \sum_{A \in W_n} -\nu(A)\, \log \nu(A) + \frac{1}{m_n} \sum_{A \in \mathbb{P}^{(m_n)} \setminus W_n} -\nu(A)\, \log \nu(A)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m_n}\big(1 + (1-\tilde{\gamma}_n) \log \# W_n\big) + \frac{1}{m_n}\big(1 + \gamma \log \# \mathbb{P}^{(m_n)}\big). \tag{13}$$

We now estimate $\# W_n$. If $A \in W_n$ then there is $\theta \in \Gamma_n$ such that $B(\theta, \beta^{m_n}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. By (11) we have that $V(\theta, S_m\tau(\theta), \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. If we fix $\theta \in \Gamma_n \cap \mathfrak{X}$, by the condition after (9) for δ, any $B_{ij} \in \mathbb{P} \vee F^{-(m_n-1)}(\mathbb{P})$ contains at most one element $A \in \mathbb{P}^{(m)}$ with $A \cap B(\theta, \beta^m) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, using a from (8),

$$\# W_n \leq \#(\Gamma_n \cap \mathfrak{X}) \cdot \#[\mathbb{P} \vee F^{-(m_n-1)}(\mathbb{P})]$$

$$\leq \frac{T_n}{a} \cdot (\# \mathbb{P})^2. \tag{14}$$

Using (12), from (13) and (14), we have

$$h(\nu) < 2\gamma\, h_{top}(F).$$

By Abramov formula [1]

$$h(\mu) = \frac{h(\nu)}{\int \tau \, d\nu} < \frac{2\gamma}{a} h_{top}(F).$$

This proves the claim.

△

Claim 2: There are $D > 0$, $N^2_\gamma > N^1_\gamma > 0$ such that if $n > N^2_\gamma$, $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$ is such that

$$\phi \geq 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi|_{\Gamma_n} \equiv 0,$$

$\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L + \phi)$, $\theta \in \Gamma_n$, $\vartheta \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu)$ and $d(\theta, \vartheta) \geq \beta^{m_n}$ then

$$d\big(\pi(\theta), \pi(\vartheta)\big) \geq \tfrac{1}{D}\, \beta^{m_n}.$$

Denote the action of a C^1 curve $\alpha : [S, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A_L(\alpha) := \int_S^T L(\alpha(t), \dot{\alpha}(t))\, dt.$$

The following Crossing Lemma is extracted for Mather [19] with the observation that the estimates can be taken uniform on $\mathcal{U}$.

1.6. **Lemma** (Mather [19, p. 186])**:**

If $K > 0$, then there exist ε, δ, η, $D > 0$ such that if $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\alpha, \gamma : [t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon] \to M$ are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation with $\|d\alpha(t_0)\|$, $\|d\gamma(t_0)\| \leq K$, $d\big(\alpha(t_0), \gamma(t_0)\big) \leq \delta$, and $d\big(d\alpha(t_0), d\gamma(t_0)\big) \geq D\; d\big(\alpha(t_0), \gamma(t_0)\big)$, then there

exist C^1 curves $a, c : [t_0-\varepsilon, t_0+\varepsilon] \to M$ such that $a(t_0-\varepsilon) = \alpha(t_0-\varepsilon)$, $a(t_0+\varepsilon) = \gamma(t_0+\varepsilon)$, $c(T_0-\varepsilon) = \gamma(t_0-\varepsilon)$, $c(t_0+\varepsilon) = \alpha(t_0+\varepsilon)$, and

$$A_{L_0+\phi}(\alpha) + A_{L_0+\phi}(\gamma) - A_{L_0+\phi}(a) - A_{L_0+\phi}(c) \geq \eta\, d\big(d\alpha(t_0), d\gamma(t_0)\big)^2. \tag{15}$$

Proof of Claim 2: Let $K > 0$ be such that

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{U} \qquad \Lambda(L_0+\phi) \subset \{\, \xi \in TM \mid \|\xi\| < K \,\}.$$

Let ε, δ, η, $D > 0$ be from Lemma 1.6. Choose $M_\gamma > N^1_\gamma$ such that if $n > M_\gamma$ then

$$\tfrac{1}{D}\beta^{m_n} < \delta. \tag{16}$$

Suppose that there are $\phi \in \mathcal{U}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L_0+\phi)$, $\theta \in \Gamma_n$, $\vartheta \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu)$ such that

$$d(\theta, \vartheta) \geq \beta^{m_n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad d\big(\pi(\theta), \pi(\vartheta)\big) < \tfrac{1}{D}\,\beta^{m_n}.$$

Write $L := L_0 + \phi$ and $\alpha(t) = \pi\varphi_t(\vartheta)$, $\gamma(t) = \pi\varphi_t(\theta)$, $t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. By (16) we can apply Lemma 1.6 and obtain a, $c : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \to M$ satisfying (15). Since $\vartheta \in \operatorname{supp}(\nu) \subset \mathcal{A}(L)$ the segment α is semistatic

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L+c(L)}(\alpha) &= \Phi^L(\alpha(-\varepsilon), \alpha(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \inf\{\, A_{L+c(L)}(x) \mid x \in C^1([0,T], M),\ T > 0,\ x(0) = \alpha(-\varepsilon),\ x(T) = \alpha(\varepsilon) \,\}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Consider the curve $x = a * \varphi_{[\varepsilon, T_n-\varepsilon]}(\theta) * c$ joining $\alpha(-\varepsilon)$ to $\alpha(\varepsilon)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L+c(L)}(x) &= A_{L+c(L)}(a) + A_{L+c(L)}(\Gamma_n) - A_{L+c(L)}(\gamma) + A_{L+c(L)}(c) \\ &\leq A_{L+c(L)}(\alpha) - \eta\, d(\theta, \vartheta)^2 + A_{L+c(L)}(\Gamma_n) \\ &\leq A_{L+c(L)}(\alpha) - \eta\, \beta^{2\,m_n} + A_{L+c(L)}(\Gamma_n). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now we estimate $A_{L+c(L)}(\Gamma_n)$. Observe that

$$-c(L_0+\phi) = \int (L_0+\phi)\, d\nu \geq \int L_0\, d\nu \geq \min_\mu \int L_0\, d\mu = -c(L).$$

Therefore

$$c(L+\phi) - c(L) \leq 0.$$

Since $\phi|_{\Gamma_n} \equiv 0$, we have that $\phi|_{\Gamma_n} + c(L+\phi)\big|_{\Gamma_n} \leq c(L)$. Thus on $\Gamma_n = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_n)$,

$$A_{L+c(L)}(\Gamma_n) = T_n \int L_0 + \phi + c(L_0+\phi)\, d\mu_n \leq T_n \int L_0 + c(L_0)\, d\mu_n.$$

By the choice of m_n, T_n, μ_n from Corollary 1.3 and using Lemma 1.5 applied to $L = L_0$, we have that for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L+c(L)}(\Gamma_n) &\leq T_n \int L_0 + c(L_0)\, d\mu_n \leq B(L_0)\, T_n \int d(\xi, \mathcal{A}(L_0))\, d\mu_n(\xi) \\ &\leq T_n \cdot o(\beta^{k\,m_n}) = o\big(\beta^{(k-\rho)\,m_n}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In Corollary 1.3 the estimate holds with the same sequence μ_n for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Thus, choosing k, ρ such that $k - \rho \geq 2$, if n is large enough, from (18) and (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{L+c(L)}(x) &\leq A_{L+c(L)}(\alpha) - \eta\, \beta^{2\, m_n} + o(\beta^{2\, m_n}) \\ &< A_{L+c(L)}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (17) and proves Claim 2.

△

Fix $L_0 \in L + \mathcal{U}$ and construct m_n, T_n, μ_n for L_0 from Corollary 1.3 as above. By Whitney Extension Theorem [23, p. 176 ch. VI §2.3] there is $A > 0$ and functions $f_n \in C^k(M, [0,1])$ such that

$$0 \leq f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in a small neighbourhood of } \pi(\Gamma_n), \\ \beta^{(k+1)m_n} & \text{if } d(x, \pi(\Gamma_n)) \geq \frac{1}{D}\, \beta^{m_n}, \end{cases}$$

and $\|f_n\|_{C^k} \leq A$. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\phi_n := \varepsilon f_n \in \mathcal{U}$.

Write $L_n := L_0 + \phi_n$. Observe that Γ_n is also a periodic orbit for L_n. Suppose that $\phi_n \notin \mathcal{E}_{\overline{\gamma}}$ with $\overline{\gamma} = \frac{2\gamma}{a}\, h_{top}(F)$. Then there is a minimizing measure $\nu_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L_n)$ with $h(\nu_n) > \frac{2\gamma}{a}\, h_{top}(F)$. By Claim 1 and Claim 2 we have that

$$\nu_n\big(\{\, \vartheta \in TM \;\big|\; d(\pi(\vartheta), \pi(\Gamma_n)) \geq \tfrac{1}{D}\, \beta^{m_n} \,\}\big) > \gamma.$$

Since $\nu_n \in \mathcal{M}(L_n) \subset \mathcal{C}(TM)$ is a closed measure, by (7), $\int L_0 + c(L_0)\, d\nu_n \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int L_0 + \phi_n\, d\nu_n &\geq -c(L_0) + \int \phi_n\, d\nu_n \\ &\geq -c(L_0) + \gamma\, \beta^{(k+1)m_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Observe that μ_n is also an invariant probability for $L = L_0 + \phi_n$. From Lemma 1.5 and Corollary 1.3 applied to L_0, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int L_0 + \phi_n\, d\mu_n = \int L_0\, d\mu_n &\leq -c(L_0) + B(L_0) \int d(\theta, \mathcal{A}(L_0))\, d\mu_n(\theta) \\ &\leq -c(L_0) + o\big(\beta^{(k+1)m_n}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Inequalities (21) and (20) imply that for n large enough ν_n is not minimizing, contradicting the choice of ν_n. Therefore $\phi_n \in \mathcal{E}_{\overline{\gamma}} \cap \mathcal{U}$ for n large enough.

□

2. Hyperbolic Aubry sets can be closed.

In this section we prove Theorem A. Throughout the section we assume that L is a Tonelli lagrangian with Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(L)$ hyperbolic.

A *dominated function* for L is a function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $\gamma : [0,T] \to M$ absolutely continuous and $0 \le s < t \le T$ we have

$$\int_s^t c(L) + L(\gamma, \dot\gamma) \le u(\gamma(t)) - u(\gamma(s)). \tag{22}$$

We say that the curve γ *calibrates* u if the equality holds in (22) for every $0 \le s < t \le T$. The definition of the Hamiltonian H associated to L implies that any C^1 function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies

$$\forall x \in M, \quad H(x, d_x u) \le c(L)$$

is dominated.

2.1. **Lemma:**

There is $B > 0$ such that if $\{(x_k, \dot x_k)|_{[T_k, T_{k+1}]}\}$ is a periodic δ-pseudo orbit in $\mathcal{A}(L)$ with M jumps and $(y, \dot y)$ is the periodic orbit with energy $c(L)$ which ε-shadows $\{(x_k, \dot x_k)\}$ with $\varepsilon = Q\,\delta$ then

$$A_{L+c(L)}(y) \le MB\,\delta^2.$$

Proof: By the shadowing lemma, there is a smooth reparametrization $c(t)$, with $|1-\dot c| \le \varepsilon$ such that

$$\forall t \qquad d\big([x_k(t), \dot x_k(t)], [y(c(t)), \dot y(c(t))]\big) < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, there are $C > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that

$$\forall t \in [T_k, T_{k+1}] \qquad d\big([x_k(t), \dot x_k(t)], [y(c(t)), \dot y(c(t))]\big) \le \varepsilon\, C \lambda^{\min\{t-T_k,\, T_{k+1}-t\}}.$$

Let $z(t) := y(c(t))$.

By adding a constant we can assume that $c(L) = 0$. On local charts we have that

$$L(z, \dot z) \le L(x_k, \dot x_k) + \partial_x L(x_k, \dot x_k)(z - x_k) + \partial_v L(x_k, \dot x_k)(\dot z - \dot x_k) + K_1\, \varepsilon^2\, \lambda^{2\min\{t-T_k,\, T_{k+1}-t\}}.$$

Using that x_k is an Euler-Lagrange solution we obtain that

$$\int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} L(z, \dot z) \le \int_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} L(x_k, \dot x_k) + \partial_v L(x_k, \dot x_k)(z - x_k)\Big|_{T_k}^{T_{k+1}} + K_2\, \varepsilon^2.$$

$$A_L(z) \le \sum_k A_L(x_k) +$$

$$+ \sum_k \Big\{ \partial_v L(x_k, \dot x_k)(z - x_k)\Big|_{T_{k+1}} - \partial_v L(x_{k+1}, \dot x_{k+1})(z - x_{k+1})\Big|_{T_{k+1}} \Big\} + MK_2\, \varepsilon^2. \tag{23}$$

Let u be a dominated function. Then u is necessarily calibrated on static curves. From Fathi's estimate on the differentiability of dominated functions (Fathi [14]), if $(x,\dot{x}) \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ is a static vector

$$u(y) - u(x) \leq \partial_v L(x,\dot{x})(y-x) + K_3\,|y-x|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k A_L(x_k) &= \sum_k u(x_k(T_{k+1})) - u(x_k(T_k)) \\
&= \sum_k u(x_k(T_{k+1})) - u(x_{k+1}(T_{k+1})) \\
&\leq \sum_k u(x_k) - u(z) + u(z) - u(x_{k+1})\Big|_{T_{k+1}} \\
&\leq \sum_k \partial_v L(x_k,\dot{x}_k)(x_k - z) + \partial_v L(x_{k+1},\dot{x}_{k+1})(z - x_{k+1}) + K_3\,\varepsilon^2\Big|_{T_{k+1}}. \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing estimate (24) for $\sum_k A_L(x_k)$ in inequality (23) we obtain

$$A_L(z) \leq MK_3\,\varepsilon^2 + MK_2\,\varepsilon^2 = MK_4\,\varepsilon^2.$$

Let w be reparametrization of z which minimizes the action. Write $w(s) = z(\alpha(s))$, $\alpha : [0,S] \to [0,\mathbb{T}]$. Let $\beta : [0,\mathbb{T}] \to [0,S]$ be the inverse of α. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A_L(w) &= \int_0^S L\big(z\circ\alpha, (\dot{z}\circ\alpha)\dot{\alpha}\big)\,ds \\
&= \int_0^{\mathbb{T}} L(z, \tfrac{\dot{z}}{\dot{\beta}})\,\dot{\beta}\,dt.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial A_L(w)}{\partial \beta}\cdot h &= \int_0^{\mathbb{T}} \Big[L(z,\tfrac{\dot{z}}{\dot{\beta}}) - \partial_v L(z,\tfrac{\dot{z}}{\dot{\beta}})\tfrac{\dot{z}}{\dot{\beta}}\Big]\,\dot{h}\,dt \\
&= -\int_0^{\mathbb{T}} E(z,\tfrac{\dot{z}}{\dot{\beta}})\,\dot{h}\,dt, \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

where $E = \partial_v L\cdot v - L$ is the energy function. Since the derivative in (25) is zero for all h, we get that

$$E(w,\dot{w}) = E(z,\tfrac{\dot{z}}{\dot{\beta}}) \equiv 0 = c(L).$$

Thus w is the reparametrization of z with energy $c(L)$. Therefore $w = y$, and hence

$$A_L(y) \leq A_L(z) \leq MK_4\,\varepsilon^2 \leq MK_4\,Q^2\delta^2 =: MB\,\delta^2.$$

□

2.2. **Lemma:** *Given a Tonelli lagrangian L_0 a compact subset $E \subset TM$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $K > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any Tonelli lagrangian L with $\|(L - L_0)|_E\|_{C^2} < \varepsilon$, and any $T > 0$:*

(a) If $x \in C^1([0,T], M)$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation for L and $z \in C^1([0,T], M)$ satisfies

$$d\big([x(t), \dot{x}(t)], [z(t), \dot{z}(t)]\big) \leq 2\rho \leq \delta_0 \qquad \forall t \in [0,T],$$

then

$$\left| \int_0^T L(x, \dot{x})\, dt - \int_0^T L(z, \dot{z})\, dt - \partial_v L(x, \dot{x}) \cdot (z - x) \Big|_0^T \right| \leq K\,(1+T)\,\rho^2, \tag{26}$$

where $z - x := (\exp_x)^{-1}(z)$.

(b) If $x \in C^1([0,T], M)$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation for L and the curves w_1, w_2, $z \in C^1([0,T], M)$ satisfy $w_1(0) = x(0)$, $w_1(T) = z(T)$, $w_2(0) = z(0)$, $w_2(T) = x(T)$, and for $\xi = z$, w_1, w_2 we have

$$d\big([\xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t)], [x(t), \dot{x}(t)]\big) \leq 2\rho \leq \delta_0 \qquad \forall t \in [0,T],\ \forall i = 1, 2,$$

then

$$|A_L(x) + A_L(z) - A_L(w_1) - A_L(w_2)| \leq 3K\rho^2(1+T).$$

Proof:

(a) We use a coordinate system on a tubular neighbourhood of $x([0,T])$ with a bound in the C^2 norm independent of T and of $\dot{x}(0)$. In case x has self-intersections or short returns the coordinate system is an immersion.

We have that

$$L(x, \dot{x}) - L(z, \dot{z}) = \partial_x L(x, \dot{x})(z - x) + \partial_v L(x, \dot{x})(\dot{z} - \dot{x}) + O(\rho^2),$$

here $O(\rho^2) \leq K\,\rho^2$ where K depends on the second derivatives of L on the compact E and hence it can be taken uniform on a C^2 neighbourhood of L. Since x satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation for L,

$$L(x, \dot{x}) - L(z, \dot{z}) = \tfrac{d}{dt}[\partial_v L(x, \dot{x})(z - x)] + O(\rho^2).$$

This implies (26).

(b) By item (a)

$$\begin{aligned} A_L(x) - A_L(w_1) &\leq \partial_v L(x,\dot{x})(w_1 - x)\Big|_0^T + K\rho^2(1+T) \\ &\leq \partial_v L(x(T),\dot{x}(T))(z(T)-x(T)) + K\rho^2(1+T). \\ A_L(x) - A_L(w_2) &\leq -\partial_v L(x(0),\dot{x}(0))(z(0)-x(0)) + K\rho^2(1+T). \\ A_L(z) - A_L(x) &\leq -\partial_v L(x,\dot{x})(z-x)\Big|_0^T + K\rho^2(1+T) \\ &\leq -\partial_v L(x(T),\dot{x}(T))(z(T)-x(T)) \\ &\quad + \partial_v L(x(0),\dot{x}(0))(z(0)-x(0)) + K\rho^2(1+T). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these inequalities we get

$$A_L(x) + A_L(z) - A_L(w_1) - A_L(w_2) \leq 3K\rho^2(1+T).$$

The remaining inequality is obtained similarly.

□

The following proposition has its origin in Yuan and Hunt [25], the present proof uses some arguments by Quas and Siefken [21].

2.3. **Proposition:** *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Suppose that for any $\delta > 0$ there is a periodic δ-pseudo orbit $\{\varphi_{[T_k,T_{k+1}]}(\theta_k)\}$ in $\mathcal{A}(L)$ with at most M jumps such that if*

$$\gamma_\delta := \min\{\, d(\varphi_s(\theta_i),\varphi_t(\theta_j)) \mid |s-t| \geq 1 \,\}$$

then $\liminf_{\delta\to 0} \frac{\delta}{\gamma_\delta} = 0$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\phi \in C^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ with $\|\phi\|_{C^2} < \varepsilon$ such that $\mathcal{A}(L+\phi)$ contains a periodic orbit.

2.4. **Remark.** The function ϕ used in proposition 2.3 does not require a special technique to perturb the flow. For δ and $\frac{\delta}{\gamma_\delta}$ sufficiently small let Γ be the periodic orbit shadowing the pseudo-orbit. The function ϕ is defined in (32). In particular Γ is a common periodic orbit for the flows of L and $L+\phi$.

Proof: By adding a constant we can assume that $c(L) = 0$. Let u be a C^1 subsolution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for L. Thus

$$L - du \geq 0. \tag{27}$$

By Gronwall's inequality and the continuity of Mañé's critical value $c(L)$ (see [13, Lemma 5.1]) there is $\alpha > 0$ and γ_0 such that if $\|\phi\|_{C^2} \leq 1$, $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$ and Γ is a periodic orbit for $L+\phi$ with energy smaller than $c(L+\phi)+1$ then

$$d(\varphi_s^{L+\phi}(\vartheta),\Gamma) \leq \frac{\gamma}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\varphi_t^{L+\phi}(\vartheta),\Gamma) \geq \frac{\gamma}{3} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |t-s| > \alpha. \tag{28}$$

By the upper semicontinuity of the Mañé set we can choose a neighbourhood U of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L)$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $\|\phi\|_{C^2} < \varepsilon_1$ then the set

$$\Lambda(\phi) := \bigcap_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{-t}^{L+\phi}(\overline{U})$$

is hyperbolic and contains $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(L+\phi)$, and ϵ_0 is an expansivity constant for $\Lambda(\phi)$. We can assume that in the statement of the proposition $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$.

Choose δ and a pseudo-orbit with $\gamma_\delta < \epsilon_0$ and $\frac{\delta}{\gamma_\delta}$ so small that there is

$$\delta < \rho < \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma_\delta \tag{29}$$

such that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\,\rho^2 > MB\,\delta^2, \tag{30}$$

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{64}\,\varepsilon\,(\gamma_\delta)^2 - MB\delta^2\right)\alpha - 6K\rho^2 - 2MB\,\delta^2 > 0. \tag{31}$$

where B is from Lemma 2.1 and K is from Lemma 2.2.

Let Γ be the periodic orbit with energy $c(L)$ which $Q\delta$-shadows the pseudo-orbit and let μ_Γ be the L-invariant probability measure supported on Γ. If $\frac{\delta}{\gamma_\delta}$ is small enough we have that

$$\inf_{|s-t|\geq 1} d\big(\Gamma(s),\Gamma(t)\big) \geq \gamma_\delta - 2Q\delta > \tfrac{3}{4}\,\gamma_\delta.$$

In particular Γ is a deformation retract of its tubular neighbourhood of radius $\frac{3}{4}\gamma_\delta$. Let $\phi : M \to [0,1]$ be a C^2 function such that $\|\phi\|_{C^2} < \varepsilon$ and

$$0 \leq \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \pi(\Gamma), \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\,\rho^2 & \text{if } d(x,\Gamma) \geq \rho, \\ \frac{1}{64}\varepsilon\,(\gamma_\delta)^2 & \text{if } d(x,\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{4}\gamma_\delta. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

Write

$$\mathbb{L} := L + \phi + c(L+\phi) - du.$$

Observe that Γ is also a periodic orbit for $L+\phi$. By Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$c(L+\phi) \geq -\int (L+\phi)\,d\mu_\Gamma = -\int L\,d\mu_\Gamma \geq -\frac{MB}{T}\,\delta^2, \tag{33}$$

where T is the period of Γ. Since we can assume that $\mathcal{A}(L)$ has no periodic orbits, if δ is small enough

$$T \geq 1. \tag{34}$$

We will prove that any semistatic curve $x :]-\infty, 0] \to M$ for $L+\phi$ has α-limit$\{(x,dx)\}$ $= \Gamma$. Since α-limits of semistatic orbits are static (Mañé [18, Theorem V.(c)]), this implies that $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{A}(L+\phi)$. Since γ_δ is smaller than the expansivitiy constant of $\mathcal{A}(L+\phi)$, it

is enough to prove that any semi-static curve $x :]-\infty, 0] \to M$ spends only finite time outside the $\frac{3}{4}\gamma_\delta$-neighbourhood of Γ.



FIGURE 2. This figure illustrates the distance of the orbit of θ to the periodic orbit Γ and the choice of S_k and T_k.

Let $x :]-\infty, 0] \to M$ be a semi-static curve for $L + \phi$. Write $\theta = (x(0), \dot{x}(0))$ and define $S_k(\theta)$, $T_k(\theta)$ recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(\theta) &:= 0, \\ T_k(\theta) &:= \sup\{\, t < S_{k-1}(\theta) \mid d(\pi\varphi_t(\theta), \pi\Gamma) \le \rho \,\}, \\ C_k(\theta) &:= \sup\{\, t < T_k(\theta) \mid d(\pi\varphi_t(\theta), \pi\Gamma) = \tfrac{\gamma}{3} \,\}, \\ S_k(\theta) &:= \inf\{\, t > C_k(\theta) \mid d(\pi\varphi_t(\theta), \pi\Gamma) = \rho \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (32), (33), (30) and (34), we have that

$$d(x, \pi\Gamma) > \rho \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi + c(L+\phi) \ge \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\rho^2 - \tfrac{MB}{T}\delta^2 > 0. \tag{35}$$

Let

$$B_k := \sup\Big\{\; t < C_k \;\Big|\; d(\pi\varphi_t(\theta), \pi\Gamma) \le \tfrac{\gamma}{4} \;\Big\}.$$

By (29), we have that

$$[B_k, C_k] \subset [T_{k+1}, S_k].$$

From (32), (33), (28) and (35), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_{k+1}}^{S_k} \Big(\phi + c(L+\phi)\Big) &\ge \int_{B_k}^{C_k} \Big(\tfrac{1}{64}\,\varepsilon\,(\gamma_\delta)^2 - \tfrac{MB}{T}\,\delta^2\Big) + \int_{[T_{k+1}, S_k]\setminus[B_k, C_k]} \Big(\phi + c(L+\phi)\Big) \\ &\ge \big(\tfrac{1}{64}\,\varepsilon\,(\gamma_\delta)^2 - \tfrac{MB}{T}\,\delta^2\big)\,\alpha + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (27),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_{k+1}}^{S_k} \mathbb{L}(\varphi_t^{\mathbb{L}}(\theta))\,dt &= \int_{T_{k+1}}^{S_k} (L - du) + \int_{T_{k+1}}^{S_k} \Big(\phi + c(L+\phi)\Big) \\ &\ge 0 + \big(\tfrac{1}{64}\,\varepsilon\,(\gamma_\delta)^2 - \tfrac{MB}{T}\delta^2\big)\,\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Let $S_k < R_k < T_k - 1$ be such that $T_k - R_k \le T + 1$ and

$$d([x(S_k+s), \dot{x}(S_k+s)], [x(R_k+s), \dot{x}(R_k+s)]) < 2\rho \qquad \forall s \in [0,1].$$

In local coordinates about $\pi(\Gamma)$ define

$$w_1(R_k+s) = (1-s)\, x(R_k+s) + \quad s\ \ x(S_k+s), \qquad s \in [0,1];$$
$$w_2(S_k+s) = \quad s\ \ x(R_k+s) + (1-s)\, x(S_k+s), \qquad s \in [0,1].$$

By Lemma 2.2(b) we have that

$$A_{L+\phi}(x|_{[S_k,S_k+1]}) + A_{L+\phi}(x|_{[R_k,R_k+1]}) \ge A_{L+\phi}(w_1) + A_{L+\phi}(w_2) - 6K\rho^2.$$

Since the pairs of segments $\{\, x|_{[S_k,S_k+1]},\, x|_{[R_k,R_k+1]} \,\}$ and $\{\, w_1,\, w_2 \,\}$ have the same collections of endpoints

$$\int_{S_k}^{S_k+1} du(\dot{x}) + \int_{R_k}^{R_k+1} du(\dot{x}) = \oint_{w_1} du + \oint_{w_2} du.$$

Therefore

$$A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[S_k,S_k+1]}) + A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[R_k,R_k+1]}) \ge A_{\mathbb{L}}(w_1) + A_{\mathbb{L}}(w_2) - 6K\rho^2. \tag{37}$$



FIGURE 3. The auxiliary segments w_1 an w_2.

Since $w_1 * x|_{[S_k+1,R_k]}$ is a closed curve

$$A_{\mathbb{L}}(w_1) + A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[S_k+1,R_k]}) \ge 0. \tag{38}$$

Using (33),

$$\mathbb{L} = (L - du) + \phi + c(L+\phi) \ge 0 + 0 - \frac{MB}{T}\,\delta^2.$$

Since $T_k - R_k \leq T+1$, on the curve $w_2 * x|_{[R_k+1,T_k]}$ we have

$$A_{\mathbb{L}}(w_2) + A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[R_k+1,T_k]}) \geq -\frac{MB}{T}\,\delta^2\,(T+1) \geq -2MB\,\delta^2. \tag{39}$$

From (37), (38) and (39) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[S_k,T_k]}) &\geq A_{\mathbb{L}}(w_1) + A_{\mathbb{L}}(w_2) - 6K\rho^2 + A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[S_k+1,R_k]}) + A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[R_k+1,T_k]}) \\ &\geq -6K\rho^2 - 2MB\,\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Adding inequality (36) and using (31) we obtain a lower bound independent of k:

$$A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{[T_{k+1},T_k]}) \geq \left(\tfrac{1}{64}\,\varepsilon\,(\gamma_\delta)^2 - \tfrac{MB}{T}\delta^2\right)\alpha - 6K\rho^2 - 2MB\,\delta^2 > 0.$$

Since the action $A_{\mathbb{L}}(x|_{]-\infty,0]}) \leq \max_{p,q\in M}\Phi^{\mathbb{L}}(p,q)$ is finite, there must be at most finitely many T_k's.

□

Proof of Theorem A:

By Theorem C(a) in [13] the set

$$\mathcal{G}_2^k := \{\,\phi \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \mid \mathcal{M}_{\min}(L_0+\phi) = \{\mu\} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(L_0+\phi) = \operatorname{supp}(\mu)\,\}$$

is residual[1] in $C^k(M,\mathbb{R})$, $2 \leq k \leq \infty$. Since any invariant probability in the Aubry set is minimizing (c.f. Theorem IV in Mañé [18], and in [8]), for $\phi \in \mathcal{G}_2^k$ the set $\mathcal{A}(L_0+\phi) = \operatorname{supp}(\mu)$ is uniquely ergodic.

Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be from Theorem E. We will prove that any $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_2^2$ can be C^2 approximated by a potential ϕ_1 for which $\mathcal{A}(L_0+\phi_1)$ contains a periodic orbit.

Fix $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \mathcal{G}_2^2$. Suppose that ϕ can not be C^2 approximated by a potential ϕ_1 for which $\mathcal{A}(L_0+\phi_1)$ contains a periodic orbit. Write $L = L_0 + \phi$. By Proposition 2.3 with $M=2$ there is $\delta_0 > 0$ and $Q > 0$ such that for any δ-pseudo-orbit in $\mathcal{A}(L)$ with at most 2 jumps $\{\,\varphi_{[T_i,T_{i+1}]}(\theta_i)\,\}_{i=1,2}$ with $\delta < \delta_0$ there is an approach

$$d(\varphi_s(\theta_i), \varphi_t(\theta_j)) < Q\,\delta,$$

with $|s-t| \geq 1$.

Fix a point $\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ which is generic for μ, i.e. for every continuous function $F : TM \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T F(\varphi_t(\vartheta))\,dt = \int F\,d\mu.$$

Let $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $Q^{-N_0} < \delta_0$.

[1]The proof is the same for all $2 \leq k \leq \infty$.

Given $\theta \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ let $\Sigma(\theta)$ be a small codimension 1 submanifold of TM transversal to φ^L containing θ. Given $N > N_0$ let $t_1(\theta) < t_2(\theta) < \cdots$ be all the Q^{-N} returns to θ in Σ of the orbit of ϑ, i.e.

$$\{\, t_1(\theta),\, t_2(\theta), \dots \} = \{\, t > 0 \mid \varphi_t(\vartheta) \in \Sigma,\ d(\varphi_t(\vartheta), \theta) < Q^{-N} \,\}.$$

We need the following result which has the same proof as Proposition 3.1 in [7].

2.5. **Proposition:** *For any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ and $\ell \geq 1$, $\quad t^N_{\ell+1}(\theta) - t^N_\ell(\theta) \geq \sqrt{2}^{N-N_0-1}$.*

We continue the proof using Proposition 2.5. Write

$$B(\theta, r) := \{\, \omega \in TM \mid d(\omega, \theta) < r \,\}.$$

Given $N \gg N_0$ let $f : TM \to [0,1]$ be a continuous function such that $f|_{B(\theta, Q^{-N-1})} \equiv 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(\theta, Q^{-N})$. Using that ϑ is a generic point for μ and Proposition 2.5 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\big(B(\theta, Q^{-N-1})\big) &\leq \int f \, d\mu = \lim_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\varphi_t(\vartheta))\, dt \\ &\leq \limsup_{T\to+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \,\#\{\, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \mid t^N_\ell(\theta) \leq T \,\} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}^{-N+N_0+1} \qquad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{A}(L). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and a point $w \in \operatorname{supp}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}(L)$ for which Brin-Katok Theorem [6] holds:[2]

$$0 = h_\mu(\varphi^L) = \lim_{T\to+\infty} -\frac{1}{T} \log\big(\mu(V(w, T, \varepsilon))\big),$$

where $V(w, T, \varepsilon)$ is the *dynamic ball*:

$$V(w, T, \varepsilon) := \{\, \theta \in TM \mid d(\varphi_t(\theta), \varphi_t(w)) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \,\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is hyperbolic there are constants $K > 0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that if $d(\varphi_s(\theta), \varphi_s(w)) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $s \in [S_0, S_1]$ then

$$d(\varphi_s(\theta), \varphi_s(w)) \leq K\, \lambda^{\min(s-S_0,\, S_1-s)} \big[d(\varphi_{S_0}(\theta), \varphi_{S_0}(w)) + d(\varphi_{S_1}(\theta), \varphi_{S_1}(w))\big]. \tag{41}$$

Therefore

$$\varphi_T\big(V(w, 2T, \varepsilon)\big) \subset B(\varphi_T(w), 2K\varepsilon\lambda^T).$$

Take N such that

$$Q^{-N-2} < 2K\varepsilon\lambda^T \leq Q^{-N-1}. \tag{42}$$

[2]Indeed, since $h_\mu(\varphi^L) = 0$ we only need inequality $h_\mu(\varphi^L) \geq \lim_{T\to+\infty} -\frac{1}{T} \log\big(\mu(V(w,T,\varepsilon))\big)$ which follows from Shanon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem by taking a partition with diameter smaller than ε. The limit increases with ε, so the inequality holds without taking $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}$.

Then $B(\varphi_T(w), 2K\varepsilon\lambda^T) \subseteq B(\varphi_T(w), Q^{-N-1})$ and using (40),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{-1}{2T}\log\mu(V(w,2T,\varepsilon)) &= \frac{-1}{2T}\log\mu(\varphi_T(V(w,2T,\varepsilon)))\\ &\geq \frac{-1}{2T}\log\mu(B(\varphi_T(w),Q^{-N-1}))\\ &\geq \frac{-1}{2T}\log\sqrt{2}^{-N+N_0+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit when $T \to +\infty$ and using (42),

$$h_\mu(\varphi^L) \geq \frac{-\log\lambda\cdot\log\sqrt{2}}{2\,\log Q} > 0.$$

This contradicts the choice of $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$.

□

References

[1] L. M. Abramov, *On the entropy of a flow*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **128** (1959), 873–875, also in Transl. Am. Math. Soc. **49** (1966),167–170.

[2] Patrick Bernard, *Existence of $C^{1,1}$ critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on compact manifolds*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no. 3, 445–452.

[3] Rufus Bowen, *Entropy-expansive maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **164** (1972), 323–331.

[4] ———, *Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 429–460.

[5] Xavier Bressaud and Anthony Quas, *Rate of approximation of minimizing measures*, Nonlinearity **20** (2007), no. 4, 845–853.

[6] Michael Brin and Anatole Katok, *On local entropy.*, Geometric dynamics, Proc. int. Symp., Rio de Janeiro/Brasil 1981, Lect. Notes Math. 1007, 1983, pp. 30–38.

[7] Gonzalo Contreras, *Ground states are generically a periodic orbit*, Preprint, arXiv:1307.0559, 2014.

[8] Gonzalo Contreras, Jorge Delgado, and Renato Iturriaga, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits. II*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 2, 155–196, special issue in honor of Ricardo Mañé.

[9] Gonzalo Contreras, Alessio Figalli, and Ludovic Rifford, *Generic hyperbolicity of aubry sets on surfaces*, Inventiones Mathematicae, online first, 24 June 2014, DOI 10.1007/s00222-014-0533-0.

[10] Gonzalo Contreras and Renato Iturriaga, *Convex Hamiltonians without conjugate points*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), no. 4, 901–952.

[11] ———, *Global Minimizers of Autonomous Lagrangians*, 22º Coloquio Bras. Mat., IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1999.

[12] Gonzalo Contreras and Gabriel P. Paternain, *Connecting orbits between static classes for generic Lagrangian systems*, Topology **41** (2002), no. 4, 645–666.

[13] ———, *Connecting orbits between static classes for generic Lagrangian systems*, Topology **41** (2002), no. 4, 645–666.

[14] Albert Fathi, *Weak KAM Theorem in Lagrangian Dynamics*, To appear.

[15] Albert Fathi and Antonio Siconolfi, *Existence of C^1 critical subsolutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), no. 2, 363–388.

[16] Todd Fisher, *Hyperbolic sets that are not locally maximal*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26** (2006), no. 5, 1491–1509.

[17] Boris Hasselblatt and Anatole Katok, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.

[18] Ricardo Mañé, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits*, International Conference on Dynamical Systems (Montevideo, 1995), Longman, Harlow, 1996, Reprinted in Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 2, 141–153., pp. 120–131.

[19] John N. Mather, *Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), no. 2, 169–207.

[20] Ian D Morris, *Maximizing measures of generic hölder functions have zero entropy*, Nonlinearity **21** (2008), 993–1000.

[21] Anthony Quas and Jason Siefken, *Ergodic optimization of super-continuous functions on shift spaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **32** (2012), no. 6, 2071–2082.

[22] M. Ratner, *Markov partitions for Anosov flows on n-dimensional manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **15** (1973), 92–114.

[23] Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[24] Peter Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. 79, Springer, 1982.

[25] G.C. Yuan and B.R. Hunt, *Optimal orbits of hyperbolic systems*, Nonlinearity **12** (1999), no. 4, 1207–1224.

CIMAT, A.P. 402, 36.000, Guanajuato. GTO, México.

E-mail address: gonzalo@cimat.mx